

Revision IED - impact on agriculture

Romi Soors
Boerenbond



Focus areas

Extension of scope

Administrative burden

Transparency and participation

Delegated acts



Extension of scope

- Current scope → annex I, point 6.6:

Intensive rearing of poultry or pigs:

- (a) with more than 40 000 places for poultry;
 - (b) with more than 2 000 places for production pigs (over 30 kg), or
 - (c) with more than 750 places for sows.
- Impact in Flanders:
 - 988 farms
 - 60-70 % of poultry farms
 - 20% of pig farms



Extension of scope

- Proposal → Annex Ia:

1. Rearing of cattle, pigs or poultry in installations of 150 livestock units (LSU) or more.
2. Rearing of any mix of the following animals: cattle, pigs, poultry, in installations of 150 LSU or more.

- threshold now at 150 LSU

- Impact in Flanders → 5057 farms



Extension of scope

- Impact at sector level:

Sector	Within scope IED
Dairy cattle	40% or 1070 farms
Beef cattle	12% or 343 farms
Pigs	90% or 1814 farms
Poultry	85% or 520 farms
Mixed livestock	83% or 725 farms

- Effect is disproportionate for farms



Administrative burden

- Farms under IED must comply with EU BAT:
 - implementation of emission-reducing measures
 - Providing plans, management systems and monitoring to identify and determine environmental impacts
- Extra costs for farmers
- Cumulative effect with other legislation

→ causes excessive administrative burden

Transparency and participation

- Proposal: permits and inspection reports available on the internet
- Privacy of farmers?
- Stigmatising effect



Transparency and participation

- Proposal: right to compensation for damage to human health
- Farmer must prove that he is not responsible = reversal of the burden of proof
- Very difficult to prove



Delegated acts

- Proposal: EC can add agro-industrial activities to annexes I and Ia
- In compliance with art. 290 (1) TFEU?
Essential element of legislation
- Rules change without public participation